

Rating Tool for Implementation of the System of Care Approach for Children, Youth, and Young Adults with Behavioral Health Challenges and Their Families

Community-Level Report	
STATE, TRIBE, OR TERRITORY:	DATE:
COMMUNITY OR REGION #:	
COMMUNITY OR REGION NAME:	
LEAD CONTACT PERSON:	
Name:	Title:
Organization:	Department:
Daytime Phone Number:	Email:

SUMMARY REPORT

TOTAL SCORE	Score	%
Strategic Plan Score (Max = 4)		
Principles Score (Max = 152)		
Services Score (Max = 136)		
Infrastructure Score (Max = 48)		
Commitment Score (Max = 60)		
Total Score (Max = 400)		

LEVEL OF IMPLEMENTATION RATIN	/G	and the second s	Store	%
Level I: No Implementation	(0)	(0%)		
Level II: Some Implementation	(1–100)	(1%–25%)		-
Level III: Moderate Implementation	(101–200)	(26%–50%)		
Level IV: Substantial Implementation	(201–300)	(51%–75%)		
Level V: Extensive Implementation	(301–400)	(76%–100%)		

DETAILED REPORT

I. Plan for the System of Care Approach

Use of a Strategic Plan for System								
PLAN FOR THE SYSTEM OF CARE APPROACH	0 = No plan exists.	1 = Plan is under development	2 = Plan exists but is not used	3 = Plan, exists but is rarely used to guide implementation	4 = Formal written plan is used extensively to guide implementation	Don't know/Not applicable/ No plan exists	Score	
Existence of a Strategic Plan for System of Care Implementation and Operation	0	1	2	3	4	DK		
Score: Development and Use of a Strategic Plan (Max = 4)								

Score: Strategic Plan and	Plan Components	#	%
MAX = 4	No plan exists = 0% Plan is under development = 1 - 25% Plan is under development = 1 - 25% Plan exists but is not used = 26 - 50% Plan exists but is rarely used to guide implementation = 51 - 75% Formal written plan is used extensively to guide implementation = 76 - 100%		

II. Service Delivery Guided by System of Care Values and Principles

	Implementation of System of Care Principles During the Past 12 Months							
CORE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES	0 = Not at all implemented	1 = Somewhat implemented	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially implemented	4 = Extensively implemented	Don't know	Score	
Individualized, Wraparound Approach to Service Planning and Delivery								
Individualized child and family teams are used (including family, youth, providers, etc.) to develop and implement a tailored service plan	0	1	2	3	4	DK		
Individualized assessments of child and family strengths and needs are used to plan services and supports	0	1	2	3	4	DK		
Individualized, tailored service plans are developed and implemented for each child and family that address multiple life domains and are revised based on progress	0	1	2	3	. 4	DK		
Services include informal and natural supports in addition to treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK		
Flexible funds are available to meet child and family needs not financed by other sources	0	1	2	3	4	DK		
Score: Individualized (Max = 20)								

	of (oleme Care P it 12 N	rinci	oles D	Syste uring	m the	
	plemented	Somewhat Implemented	mplemented	implemented	mplemented		
CORE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES Family-Driven Approach	0 = Not at all implemented	1≒ Somewhat I	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially implemented	4 = Extensively ii	Donitknow	Score
Families have a primary decision making role in service planning and delivery							
Family strengths are incorporated in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Families have a choice of services and supports	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Families have access to peer support	0	1 1	2	3	4	DK	
A family organization exists and supports family involvement at the system and service delivery levels	0	1	2	3	4	DK DK	
Score: Family Driven (Max = 20)						-	
Youth-Guided Approach							
Youth are active partners in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	3
Youth strengths and interests are incorporated in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth have a choice of services and supports	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth have access to peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
A youth organization exists and supports youth involvement at the system and service delivery levels	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Youth Guided (Max = 20)							
Coordinated Approach							
Intensive/targeted care management with a dedicated care manager is provided to high-need youth and families	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Basic service coordination is provided for children and families at lower levels of service intensity	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Care is coordinated across multiple child-serving agencies and systems	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
One overall plan of care is created across child-serving agencies and systems (there may be more detailed plans for individual systems as part of the overall plan)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Coordinated Approach (Max = 16)							
Culturally and Linguistically Competent Approach							
Culture-specific services and supports are provided	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Services and supports are adapted to ensure access and effectiveness for culturally diverse populations	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers represent the cultural and linguistic characteristics of the population served	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers are trained in cultural and linguistic competence	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

	0(0	are P t 12 N	rincij Ionth	oles D S	Syste Juring	n the	
	Not at all implemented	Somewhat implemented	2 = Moderately Implemented	substantially implemented	Extensively implemented	Work,	9
CORE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES	0 = Nc	1= So	2 = Mc	3 = sul	4 = Ex	Don't know	Score
Specific strategies are used to reduce racial and ethnic disparities in access to and outcomes of services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Cultural and Linguistic Competence (Max = 20)							
Evidence-Informed and Promising Practices and Practice-Based Evidence Appr	oach	95					
Evidence-informed practices are implemented within the array of services and supports to improve outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers are trained in specific evidence-informed practices and/or evidence-informed practice components	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Best practice guidelines, clinical protocols, and manuals are provided to practitioners	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Fidelity to evidence-informed practices and outcomes are measured	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Evidence-Informed (Max = 16)							
Least Restrictive Approach							
Utilization of home- and community-based services is increased	0	1	2	3	,4	DK	
The number of children who are served in settings more restrictive than necessary is reduced	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Utilization of inpatient hospital services is decreased and it is primarily used for short-term, acute treatment and stabilization when necessary and appropriate	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Utilization of residential treatment is decreased and it is primarily used for short-term lengths of stay to achieve specific treatment goals when necessary and appropriate	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Least Restrictive (Max = 16)							
Service Array							
Broad array of home- and community-based services and supports is available	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Includes or is linked with early identification and intervention activities to identify behavioral health problems earlier	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Includes developmentally appropriate services for young children and their families	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Includes developmentally appropriate services for youth and young adults in transition to adulthood	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Service Array (Max = 16)							
Data and Accountability							
Data are collected regularly and are used to improve system quality and outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Electronic health records exist	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Data and Accountability (Max = 8)							

Score: System of Care Principles	#	%
Mode Substar	Not at all implemented = 0% mewhat implemented = 1-25% erately implemented = 26-50% intially implemented = 51 -75% sively implemented = 76-100%	

Score: Individual System of Care Principles	#	%
Score: Individualized (Max = 20)		
Score: Family Driven (Max = 20)		
Score: Youth Guided (Max = 20)		
Score: Coordinated Approach (Max = 16)		
Score: Cultural and Linguistic Competence (Max = 20)		
Score: Evidence-Informed (Max = 16)		
Score: Least Restrictive (Max = 16)		
Score: Service Array (Max = 16)		
Score: Data and Accountability (Max = 8)		

III. Services and Supports Based on the System of Care Approach

	Availability During the Past 12 Months						
SERVICES AND SUPPORTS Home- and Community-Based Treatment and Support Services (Nonresid	.0 = Notatall available	1' – Somewhat available	2:=:Moderately, available	3 = substantially available	. 4 = Extensively available	.Don't know	Score
Screening for behavioral health needs (e.g., in early care, education, primary care,							
child welfare, and juvenile justice settings)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Assessment and evaluation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Individualized service planning (e.g., wraparound process)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Intensive care management	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Care coordination for youth at lower levels of service intensity	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Outpatient individual therapy	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Outpatient group therapy	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Outpatient family therapy	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Medication treatment/management	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Crisis response services (non-mobile) (24 hours, 7 days)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Mobile crisis and stabilization services (24 hours, 7 days)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Intensive home-based services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
School-based behavioral health services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

	Availability During the Past 12 Months						
SERVICES AND SUPPORTS	0,= Not at all available	1 = Somewhat available	2 = Moderately available	3 = substantially available	4 = Extensively available	Don't know	Score
Day treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Substance use treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Therapeutic behavioral aide services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Behavior management skills training	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Tele-behavioral health services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	-
Youth peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Family peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth and family education .	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Respite services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Therapeutic mentoring	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Mental health consultation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Supported education and employment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Supported independent living	0	. 1	2	3	4	DK	
Transportation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Home- and Community-Based Treatment and Support Services (Max = 108)							
Out-of-Home Treatment Services for Short-Term Treatment Goals That are Linked to Home- and Community-Based Services and Supports	0 = Not at all available	1 = Available but not used appropriately and not linked.	2 = Available, somewhat used appropriately and somewhat linked.	3 – Avgilable, moderately used appropriately and moderately linked.	4 = Available, mostly used appropriately and mostly linked.	Don't know	Score
Therapeutic foster care	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Therapeutic group home care	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Crisis stabilization beds	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Medical detoxification	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Substance use residential treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Residential treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Inpatient hospitalization	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Out-of-Home Treatment Services (Max = 28)							

Score: Services		# %
MAX = 136	Not at all available = 0% Somewhat available = 1-25% Moderately available = 26-50% Substantially available = 51 -75% Extensively available = 76-100%	

IV. System Infrastructure Based on System of Care Approach

	Implementation During the Past 12 Months						
INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS	0 = Not at all implemented:	1 = Somewhat implemented :	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially Implemented	4 = Extensively implemented	.Don't know	Score
Point of Accountability Structure for System of Care Management and Oversight	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Financing for System of Care Infrastructure and Services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process to Manage Care and Costs for High-Need Populations (e.g., Care Management Entities)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Interagency Partnerships/Agreements	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Partnerships with Family Organization and Family Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Partnerships with Youth Organization and Youth Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Defined Access/Entry Points to Care	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Extensive Provider Network to Provide Comprehensive Array of Services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Training, TA, and Workforce Development	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Measuring and Monitoring Quality, Outcomes, and Costs (including IT system) and for Using Data for Continuous Quality Improvement	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Strategic Communications/Social Marketing	0	1	2.	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Strategic Planning and Identifying and Resolving Barriers	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

Score: Infrastructure	# %
Not at all implemented = 0% Somewhat implemented = 1-25% Moderately implemented = 26-50% Substantially implemented = 51 -75% Extensively implemented = 76-100%	

V. Commitment to the System of Care Philosophy and Approach

		GCCCCGGGGGGGG	ODMINIST AND	Contract to the Contract of th	Care College		Attrophysical Company of the Company
	Exte Past	nt of C 12 Ma	ommit nths	ment	During	the	
GROUP	0 = Not at all committed	1= Somewhat.committed	2 = Moderately committed	3 = Substantially committed	4 = Extensively, committed	Don't know	Score
Child-Serving Systems							
Mental Health System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Child Welfare System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Juvenile Justice System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Education System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Health System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Substance Use Treatment System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Courts/Judiciary System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Medicaid	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Policy and Decision Makers							
High-Level Policy and Decision Makers at the Local Community or Regional Level	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers							
Provider Agency Administrators and Mid-Level Managers	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Direct Service Providers (Clinicians and Others)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Family and Youth Leaders							
Family Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Managed Care Organizations							
Behavioral Health Managed Care Organizations	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Managed Care Organizations Managing both Physical Health and Behavioral Health	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

Score: Commitment	#	%
Some Modera Substanti	Not at all committed = 0% ewhat committed = 1-25% ately committed = 26-50% ially committed = 51 -75% ely committed = 76-100%	

OVERALL ASSESSMENT	0 = Not at all	l = Somewhat	2 = Moderately HSS	3 = Substantially Burs	4 = Extensively	Don't know.	Score
To what extent do you believe that the system of care approach is being implemented in your community or region?	0	1	2	3	4	DK	